

Attendance Incentives

Sarah Lenhoff, Wayne State University

The Detroit Education Research Partnership has worked closely with the Detroit Public Schools Community District since 2017 to identify barriers to attendance and use research to inform improvements to attendance policy and practice. I appreciate the opportunity to share what research has shown about the effectiveness of incentive interventions and to provide a framework for thinking through their use among our partners.

Overview

- Absenteeism should be understood as an ecological problem. While parent or student motivation may contribute to absenteeism for some students, what motivation means and whether incentives will impact motivation is complicated and contextual.
- The major drivers of high absenteeism in Detroit and other cities are related to broader social and economic inequalities that will not be overcome through incentives. Research on incentives and other "nudges" for attendance suggests a small effect, if any; and one major study on attendance incentives found negative unintended consequences. If attendance incentives are used as part of a broader attendance improvement strategy, we recommend using Balu and Ehrlich's(2018) framework to design, implement, and evaluate incentives. Their framework asks designers to consider the following questions: 1. **Problem diagnosis:** What are the *specific attendance problems/causes* that need to be solved?
- 2. **Selection of incentive(s):** What *type of incentive* should be implemented in order to address the identified problem and change behavior?
- 3. **Implementation planning:** How can the incentive be implemented in ways that *increase its salience and decrease tradeoffs*?
- 4. **Evaluation and revision:** What do *evaluation results* of incentive-based approaches indicate about effectiveness and how to improve subsequent implementation?

Motivation in the Theory of Absenteeism

Our research, like others, has theorized that school attendance and absenteeism is a "wicked problem" shaped by individual level factors, family circumstances, school and neighborhood characteristics, and the macro-economic and policy context (Childs & Lofton, 2021; Gottfried & Gee, 2017; Lenhoff & Pogodzinski, 2018; Singer et al., 2021). Incentives, then, might theoretically affect attendance for students or parents who lack motivation for getting to school at the individual level. However, from interviews with parents, we have learned that out-of-school barriers are significant, and "motivation" is complex and interrelated with these barriers in a way

that incentives are unlikely to overcome for most families.

"Motivation" around attendance is shaped by repeated experiences in context. Incentives do not necessarily address the contextual factors that are demotivating. For example, one east side parent we spoke to explained that her son takes DDOT to school, and that repeated instances of missing the bus (along with unsafe conditions and too-far distances to walk) have changed her son's behavior around attendance. He does not see the bus as reliable, and that influences his decision to attend school on some days. Motivation may play some role in missing school for this student, but it is not clear whether incentives would increase his attendance, absent other interventions that changed his relationship with the bus.

December 9, 2021 https://scholars.org

Other high school students we spoke to suggested that broader cultural issues at the school, such as few strong relationships and unengaging curriculum, also shape their "motivation" about school. This might affect their decision-making, and attendance incentives probably wouldn't resolve those issues.

Most parents with whom we spoke for our research identified significant out-of-school barriers, especially related to health and transportation. We did not find evidence that parents or students "don't care" about school; rather, we found evidence that they face significant obstacles to attendance. Families whose children had good attendance sometimes faced similar obstacles, but they typically had greater resources to draw on, ates of

Table 3: Most challenged cities ranked for each structural barrier

illenges

Perce	Percentage of Adults with Asthma (%)		ı	Violent Crime per 1,000 People	
1. Detr	oit	14.00	1	1. Detroit	19.90
2. Baltir	nore	12.30	11	2. Milwaukee	14.85
3. Louis	ville	12.30	11	3. Baltimore	13.39
4. Phila	delphia	11.60	11	4. Indianapolis	12.55
5. Bost	on	11.40	1	5. Washington, D.C	11.85

Unemployment Rate (%)		
1. Detroit	19.80	
2. Philadelphia	11.30	
3. Fresno	11.10	
4. Baltimore	10.00	
5. Chicago	9.90	

Poverty Rate (%)		
1. Detroit	37.90	
2. Fresno	28.40	
3. Milwaukee	27.40	
4. Philadelphia	25.80	
5. Tucson	24.10	

Racial Segregation Index for Greater Metro Area		
1. Milwaukee	69.42	
2. Detroit	68.42	
3. New York	63.66	
4. Chicago	62.57	
5. Philadelphia	61.56	

Average Monthly Temperature (°F)		
1. Denver	46.48	
2. Milwaukee	46.67	
3. Detroit	49.03	
4. Chicago	49.56	
5. Boston	50.42	

Residential Vacancy Rate (%)		
1. Detroit	27.00	
2. Baltimore	18.00	
3. Chicago	14.00	
4. Houston	13.00	
5. Indianapolis	13.00	

Population Change (%), 1970-2010		
1. Detroit	-52.86	
2. Baltimore	-31.45	
3. Philadelphia	-21.69	
4. Washington, D.C.	-20.46	
5. Chicago	-19.94	

Large

Cities Ranked by Structural and Environmental Barriers to Attendance

Research on Attendance Incentives and Nudges

Research on attendance incentives and nudges suggests they could have unintended negative consequences. There is one major study that tests the causal impact of incentives on attendance. That study, by Robinson et al. (2021), found that attendance awards that were communicated in advance had **no impact** and that attendance declined after the awards stopped. The study also found that surprise awards that were given retrospectively (e.g., perfect attendance awards) had a **demotivating effect** and actually decreased attendance.

Research on other attendance interventions to "nudge" families, such as new forms of communication, shows a **very small impact**. For instance:

- Robinson et al. (2018a) found that targeting parental beliefs through communication decreased chronic absenteeism in a district from 5.5% to 4.5%.
- Lasky-Fink et al. (2021) changed the language in truancy letters to affect behavior. It reduced absences for students by 0.07 days compared to the original letter. Robinson et al. (2018b) found that many students want to participate in behavioral commitment devices (such as an "attendance contracts") but that these things ultimately do not change student behavior.
- There are different kinds of school-based attendance incentives (e.g., awards, pizza parties, free dress day, Pistons tickets), but "there is minimal research or evidence on their effectiveness" (Balu & Ehrlich, 2018, p. 96).

December 9, 2021 https://scholars.org

Because of the limited evidence of the effect of incentives and the theoretically different role of incentives across different grades, Balu and Ehrlich (2018) recommend that school and district leaders are very intentional about their design and implementation. They recommend the following framework to guide development:

- 1. **Problem diagnosis:** What are the *specific attendance problems/causes* that need to be solved? In other words, what attendance barriers can be solved through incentives? 2. **Selection of incentive(s):** What *type of incentive* should be implemented in order to address the identified problem and change behavior? In other words, who should be the target of the incentive and what kind of incentive might induce changed behavior? 3. **Implementation planning:** How can the incentive be implemented in ways that *increase its salience and decrease tradeoffs*?
- 4. **Evaluation and revision:** What do *evaluation results* of incentive-based approaches indicate about effectiveness and how to improve subsequent implementation?

The Detroit Education Research Partnership is grateful for the opportunity to partner with DPSCD to better understand attendance challenges and use research to inform solutions. We are eager to build on our existing work to evaluate any new attendance strategy in partnership with the district. Please let me know if you have questions or would like to discuss these findings further.

December 9, 2021 https://scholars.org